Vaccination Programs: Monetary Sanction Policies

Summary Evidence Table - Updated Evidence (search period: 1980-February 2012)

Study	Location and Intervention	Population and Sample	Effect measure	Reported baseline	Reported effect	Value used in summary [95%CI]	Follow- up time
Author (Year): Kerpelman 2000	Location: USA; Muscogee County, GA	Setting: Community-wide	Age- appropriate	206 (60.6%) out of 340	369 (72.4%) out of 510	+11.8 pct	3 years
Study Period: 1993-1996	Intervention: Monetary sanctions on families in the Aid to	Study Population: Selected study county: N=1 Systematic random sample of	vaccination rates for study 2 year olds			[95% CI: 5,18] p< 0.001	
Design Suitability (Design): Greatest	Families with Dependent Children assistance program for failure to provide proof of up-to-date	families on AFDC to condition Group N families N children N and Sanction 1500 2488 1725 No Sanction 1000 1662 1076	Overall results provided in logit analysis and plots			Overall result details not provided here	
(Individual randomized trial)	immunizations (Process: families informed at enrollment ; oral or written	Study Children or study families • 6 years of age or younger Note: Vaccination rate data	Issuance of warnings about	3 (0.3%) of 1000 (by mistake)	17 (1.1%) of 1500 families sent warnings		
Quality of Execution (# of Limitations):	warnings leading to potential loss of AFDC benefits normally provided for the	provided for the subset of study children who become 2 yrs old over study period	monetary sanctions over the period of study				
Fair (3) Outcome Measure: Childhood series	nonimmunized child) Comparison: No monetary sanction	Group Children completed trial Intervention 510 Comparison 340	Application of monetary sanctions	0 (0%) of 1000 (comparison)	11 (0.7%) of 1500 families	Sanctions were rarely applied	

Study	Location and Intervention	Population and Sample	Effect measure	Reported baseline	Reported effect	Value used in summary [95%CI]	Follow- up time
Minkovitz 1999 Study Period: 1992-1995 Design Suitability (Design): Greatest (Individual randomized trial) Quality of Execution (# of Limitations): Fair (4) Outcome	Location: USA; Maryland Intervention: Monetary sanctions on families in the Aid to Families with Dependent Children assistance program for failure to provide proof of up-to-date immunizations (Process: families informed at enrollment; oral or written warnings leading to potential loss of \$25/month normally provided for the nonimmunized child Comparison: No Sanctions	Setting: Community-wide Study Population: Selected AFDC offices in Maryland: N=6 AFDC recipient families in study offices randomly assigned to the sanction or no sanction group. Children of study families aged 3-24 months of age were randomly selected for evaluation Subset analysis: Year 2 (1994-1995) for the MMR vaccine N children Vaccine Baseline Inter Ctrl MMR 316 286 246	Age-appropriate vaccination rates for MMR in the second year of the intervention Note: vaccination rates in baseline year for MMR was 66.4% Application of warnings of disallowance to AFDC families	No sanction group in year 2 sample 172 (69.9%) out of 246	Sanction group in year 2 sample 198 (69.2%) out of 286	-0.7 pct pts 95% CI: [-9,7] Annually, about 25% of families received 1 or more disallowance s for failing to meet the preventive health requirements	2 years
Measure: MMR							