Preventing Skin Cancer: Mass Media

Summary Evidence Table

Study Details	Population Characteristics	Intervention Characteristics	Outcome measures	Effect Estimates
Author and date: Koster, 2011 Study Design: Before After Quality of Execution: Fair Location: Denmark	Target Population: General 15-59 years of age (15-25 yrs. Primary target group) Settings: Community wide	Intervention: Anti- sunbed campaign Implementation period: March, 2007 Type of message: Persuasive Type of mass media: Radio, magazines; Social media- YouTube, facebook, Myspace Control group: NA	Baseline: March 2007, Post: Follow-up 1: August 2007, Follow-up 2: August 2008, Follow-up 3: August 2009; Sun protective behaviors: 1. Proportion of overall sunbed users 2. Proportion of first time sunbed users (<18 yrs.)	Sun Protective Behaviors: <u>1.Sun bed use:</u> a) Proportion of overall sunbed users Baseline: March 2007 Follow up: August 2009 Overall: OR = 0.61 (0.54–0.69) Age group (15–19): OR= 0.42 (0.30–0.69) Age group (40-49): OR= 0.82 (0.64–1.04) 2. Proportion of first time sunbed users among age group 15-19 yrs. Among <13 years olds Baseline: 13%; Follow up: 8% ES= -5 pct pt (p<.001) Among 13–15 years: Decrease 5% (75% to 65%) Baseline: 75%; Follow up: 65% ES= -10pct pt (p<.001)
Author and date: Dobbinson, 2008 Study Design: Interrupted Time series Quality of Execution: Good Location: Australia (Victoria)	Target Population: Residents of Melbourne; 14-64 yrs.; Settings: Community wide	Intervention: SunSmart- paid television media on skin cancer prevention Implementation period: Summer 1987–1988 Type of message: Persuasive Type of mass media: Television Control group: Pre- intervention group with no exposure	Baseline: Summer 1987-88 Follow-up: Summers of 1991-92; 1994-95; 1997-98; 1999-2000; 2000-01; 2001-02; Sun protective behaviors: 1. Use of hat 2. Use of 3¼ or long sleeved top 4. Use of ¾ or long leg cover	Sun Protective behaviors: (Change in weekend behaviors in previous 4 weeks between 11 am to 3 pm (OR for TARPx100) a) Use of hat: OR= 1.24; P<0.001 b) Use of sunscreen*: OR= 1.16; P<0.001 c) Use of ¾ or long sleeved top: OR= 1.08; P=0.109 d) Use of ¾ or long leg cover: OR= 1.05; P=0.225 e)Body exposure index** : OR= -0.02; P<0.001 * Evidence for inadequate model fit (via the Hosmer–Lemeshow test) ** Results from multiple regression TARP= target audience ratings point

Study Details Author and date: Broadwater, 2004 Study Design: Before After Quality of Execution: Fair Location: United States (Utah)	Population characteristics: Target population; Age; Setting; Target Population: Adults with children from Utah households (18-49 years) Settings: Community wide	Intervention Characteristics: Intervention; Time of implementation; Type of messages; Intervention component; Control group Intervention: Utah Cancer Action Network (UCAN): Skin and Colon cancer media campaign Implementation period: April 2003 Type of message: Persuasive Type of mass media: Radio, television ads, billboards, small media- posters, rack cards, print ads, banners;	Outcome measures: Time of survey; Outcomes of Interest Baseline: January 2003 (prior to launch of UCAN advertising campaign) Follow-up: 4-6 weeks after the intervention May 2003; Sun protective behaviors: 1. Change in behavior (based on information in the announcement.) 2. Use of sunscreen 3. Use of protective Clothing(started to wear more protective clothing)	ResultsSun Protective behaviors1. Sunscreen use (proportion data)Base line $(n=130/407):61\%$;Follow up $(n=119/426):60\%$ Absolute: -1.0 pct pt; (95% CI -13.2, 11.2)2. Protective clothing useBase line $(n=130/407):13\%$ Follow up $(n=119/426):23\%$ Absolute change: 10.0 pct pt; (95% CI 0.5, 19.5)3. Overall protective behaviors (Became more careful about sun protection for children)Base line $(n=130/407):30\%$ Follow up $(n=119/426): 19\%$ Absolute change: -11.0 pct pt (95%, CI -21.6, -0.4)		
		Control group: NA	4. Became more careful about sun protection for children.			
Author and date:	Target Population:	Intervention: Sun	Campaign 1 –	Sun Protective Behaviors:		
Smith, 2002	Adults with at least one child under the age of 12 years;	protection mass media campaigns; Summer -1998-99;	Baseline: Nov. 1997; Follow-up: Jan, 1998	Adult Behavio r (re. Parent/ Caregivers Behaviors): Proportion of respondents		
Study Design: Before After			Campaign 2-	1.Sunscreen use: (n=803) C1 C2	C3	
	Settings:	Type of message:	Baseline: Nov. 1998; Follow-up: Jan, 1999	Base line: 51.6% 44.3%		
Quality of Execution: Fair	Community wide	Persuasive	-	Follow up: 54.7% 54.2%* ES: Absolute change (CI)	59.1%*	
Location: Australia (New South Wales)		Type of mass media : television (30 minutes commercial), transit	Campaign3- Post only after Jan;	3.1pct pt (-1.8, 8.0) 0.9 pct pt(-4.0, 5.8) 2. Clothing use:		
		advertizing (bus sides); billboards; radio community	Sun protective behaviors: 1.Use of sunscreen use	Base line: 27.6% 29.2%	C3 5.9%*	
		service announcement Small media- Local	2. Use of protective	4.2 pct pt (-0.3, 8.7) 0.3pct pt(-4.2)	, 4.8)	

newspapers,	clothing	3.Use of hat:			
Educational	-	C1	C2	C3	
promotional resources;	3. Use of hat	Base line: 20.8%	20.4%		
[-······		Follow up: 16.7%*	25.7%**	17.4%*	
Control group: NA	4. Use of shade	ES: Absolute change (17.470	
control group: NA	4. Use of shaue				
		-4.1pct pt(-7.9, -0.3) 5.3 pct pt(1.2, 9.4)			
		3. Use of sunshade:			
		C1	C2	C3	
				03	
		Base line: 47.4%			
		Follow up: 49.5%		53.7%*	
		ES: Absolute change (CI)		
			8, 7.0) 3.1pct pt(-	-1.8, 8.0)	
		Children behavior:			
		1.Sunscreen use:	~~~	<u></u>	
		C1	C2	C3	
		Base line: 75.1%			
		Follow up: 76.5%	6 78.8%	82.9%**	
		ES: Absolute change (
		1.4 pct pt(-2		-1.8.8.0)	
		2. Clothing use:			
		C1	C2	C3	
		Base line: 57.7%			
				4E 00/*	
				65.9%*	
		ES: Absolute change (
		13.3 pct pt(9.0	0, 17.6) 9.1pct pt	6) 9.1pct pt (4.3,13.9)	
		3. Use of hat:			
			<u></u>	<u></u>	
		C1		C3	
		Base line: 28.4			
		Follow up: 27.0)% 37.5%*,	** 23.6%	
		ES: Absolute change (CI)		
				.0) 4.1pct pt(-0.6,8.8)	
		4. Use of sunshade:			
		C1	C2	C3	
		Base line: 70.6	60.2%*		
			4%* 70.7%**	76.4%*	
		ES: Absolute change (. 0. 170	
				0 15 1)	
		4.8 pct pt(0.5,9.1) 10.5 pct pt(5	.7,15.1)	
		*Adjusted OR shows	a significant differe	ence from	
		C1 pre survey level	a significant antore		
			o ola difference fre	m c1	
		**Adjusted OR shows	a sig unierence fro	in ci post	
		survey level			