Health Equity: Permanent Supportive Housing with Housing First

Summary Evidence Tables - Systematic Economic Review

This table outlines information from the studies included in the Community Guide economic review of Permanent Supportive Housing with Housing First. It details study design and economic analysis, population and intervention characteristics, and economic outcomes considered in this review. Complete references for each study can be found in the Included Studies section of the <u>review summary</u>. [URL]

Abbreviations Used in This Document:

- Economic outcomes:
 - DALY: disability-adjusted life year
 - QALY: quality-adjusted life year
 - ROI: return on investment
- Study design:
 - RCT: randomized controlled trial
- Measurement terms:
 - DiD: difference in difference

- Other terms:
 - ACT: assertive community treatment
 - ED: emergency department
 - EMR: electronic medical record
 - EMS: emergency medical service
 - HUD: Housing and Urban Development
 - ICM: intensive case management
 - ICU: intensive care unit
 - NR: not reported
 - PCP: primary care provider
 - QoL: quality of life
 - SSI: supplemental security income
 - SUD: substance use disorder
 - VA: Veterans Administration

Notes:

Quality of economic estimates – Studies are assessed to be of good, fair, or limited quality. This valuation is based on two domains: Quality of Capture <u>Read more >></u>, and Quality of Measurement. <u>Read more >></u>

Race/ethnicity of the study population: The Community Guide only summarizes race/ethnicity for studies conducted in the United States.

Study Information	Study and Population Characteristics	Program Name Intervention & Comparison	Effectiveness Findings	Intervention Cost	Societal Costs Averted Productivity Gained	Economic Summary Measure
Author (Year):	Location:	Program Name:	Stable	Intervention Cost:	Total Cost:	Benefit-Cost Ratio:
Basu et al. (2012)	Chicago, Illinois, U.S.	None	Housing Days: In annualized	Annualized per person \$3,337 made up of	Total annual cost reduced by \$9,644 for	All persons: \$9,644/\$3,337=2.89
Design:	0.5.	Intervention:	terms,	\$3,154 for housing	all, by \$13,004 for those	Chronic homelessness:
RCT	Eligibility:	Housing for those	intervention	and \$183 for case	who were chronically	\$13,004/\$3,191=4.08
	Persons selected	who need not be	group had 62	management.	homeless, and by	Persons with HIV:
Economic	at discharge from	'chronically	more days of	_	\$10,843 for those with	\$10,843/\$4,221=2.57
Method:	2 Chicago	homeless'. Based	stable housing	For those who were	HIV.	
Cost-benefit	hospitals	on Housing First	and 12 more	chronically homeless,		Quality of Estimate:
	who were without		days in respite	housing cost was	Costs by Component:	Fair
Funding Source:	stable housing for		housing than	\$3,030 and case	Healthcare cost reduced	
NIMH	30 days or more and had one or	management. Selected persons	control.	management was \$161.	\$8,593 for all, by \$12,519 for those who	Limitations: Includes those not
Monetary	more of 15	provided interim	Data Source:	For persons living with	were chronically	chronically homeless
Conversions:	chronic medical	housing followed by	Follow-up	HIV, housing cost was	homeless, and by	chronically nonleless
Index year	illnesses; 18	stable housing.	interviews at 1,	\$4,022 and case	\$9,408 for those with	Notes:
assumed 2005 in	years and older;	Intensive case	3, 6, 9, 12, and	management was	HIV.	Persons at discharge
U.S. dollars	eligibility verified	management by	18 months	\$199.		from hospitals
	by hospital social	on-site social			Emergency housing	·
	worker. Those	worker in interim	Measure Type:	Data Source:	included in intervention	
	lacking stable	housing, hospital,	DiD	Housing cost from	cost and not reported	
	housing for more	and stable housing.		Spellman et al. (2010)	separately.	
	than 24 months			and case management	Judicial costs reduced	
	classed as	Comparison:		from study records	\$1,051 for all, by \$485	
	chronically	Eligible persons at discharge assigned		and unit cost of	for those who were	
	homeless.	to control were		encounters.	chronically homeless, and by \$1,435 for those	
	Sample Size:	referred to hospital		Components	with HIV.	
	Intervention: 201	social worker who		Included in	Welfare not reported	
	Control: 206	provided discharge		Intervention Cost:	Employment not	
	Subgroup	planning with no		Housing subsidies,	reported	
	analysis done for	further relationship		case management for		
	HIV and	after discharge.		healthcare	Components Included	
	chronically	Analysis used			in Total Costs	
	homeless.	matching by		Quality of Capture:	Averted:	
	_	propensity score.		Good	Healthcare, emergency	
	Population			Quality of	housing, judicial	
	Characteristics:			Quality of Measurement: Good	Components Included	
	Mean Age: 47 Females: 26%			measurement: Good	in Healthcare Cost:	
	1 Emales. 2070				in nealthcare cost:	

s F / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /	Less than high school: 48% Race: African American 81%, White 7%, Hispanic 8%, Other 4%. Veteran 18% Medicaid 37% Medicare 8% Diseases or Disorders: HIV 37%, Depression 40%, Anxiety disorder 40%. Time Horizon: Intervention: September 2003 to December 2007 Intervention length: 18 months				Physical and mental health/SUD. Inpatient, ED, outpatient. Source and Valuation: EMR and healthcare utilization from person reports and hospital records and unit prices from providers. Judicial utilization derived from public records and housing utilization from housing agencies. Measure Type: DiD Quality of Capture: Fair Quality of Measurement: Fair	
	National, U.S.	Program Name: Department of	No effectiveness outcomes of	Intervention cost: No intervention cost	Total Cost: Total reduction over 2	No summary measures reported
Design:		Housing and Urban Development-VA	interest reported.	reported.	years was \$5,758.	
Retrospective with	Eligibility:	Supportive Housing			Costs by Component:	
	Persons selected from among	(HUD-VASH)			Healthcare cost reduced \$5,758 over 2 years.	
Economic 🕔	veterans who	Intervention:				
		HUD-VASH, permanent housing			Emergency housing not reported.	
	time in fiscal year					
Funding Source: 2	2010 and	services. No other			<u>Judicial costs</u> not	
		details reported; presumably,			reported	
		permanent housing			Welfare not reported	
		with healthcare				
	mental health or substance use	services.			Employment not reported	

	Sample Size: Intervention size not reported Control size not reported Population Characteristics: No details reported Time Horizon: Existing program. Analysis based on 1-year pre and 2- year post data, fiscal years 2010 through 2012. Intervention length: 18 months				Components Included in Total Costs Averted: Healthcare Components Included in Healthcare Cost: Physical and behavioral. Inpatient, ED, outpatient. Source and Valuation: VA decision support systems Measure Type: DiD Quality of Capture: Good Quality of Measurement: Good	
Author (Year): Culhane et al.	Location: New York, New York,	Program Name: New York/New York	Measured at 24 months	Intervention Cost: \$65.8 million per year	Total Cost: Annual total cost offsets	Benefit-Cost Ratio: \$58.9/\$65.8 = 0.89
(2002) Design: Retrospective with matched control Economic Method: Cost-benefit Funding Source: State of New York and New York City Monetary Conversions:	housing from 1989 through	housing and treatment.	per year. For NY/NY program Lipton et al. (2000) found that after one, two, and five years, 75 percent, 64 percent, and 50 percent of the almost 3,000	for 3,615 housing units. Data Source: Administrative records and reports. Components Included in Intervention Cost: Housing construction debt, administration, and support services costs. Quality of Capture:	were \$58.9 million. Costs by Component: <u>Healthcare cost</u> reduced \$8,770 per person per year of which \$2,608 was for physical and \$6,162 was for mental health/SUD. <u>Emergency housing</u> reduced by 82.9 days per person over 2 years (\$2,819 per year). <u>Judicial costs</u> NY State incarcerations reduced	Quality of Estimate: Good
	1997. Identified through	Community Residence	persons placed had remained in	Good	by 7.9 days over 2	

Index year assumed 1999 in U.S. dollars	administrative records. Sample Size: Intervention: 4679 persons and 3615 housing units Control: Matched to each program for which averted costs are computed. Population Characteristics: None reported Time Horizon: Existing program. 2-year pre and 2- year post analysis. Analyzed those	Facilities: group residences with housing and mandated treatment integrated. Both have access to physical, mental health and substance use treatment. Comparison: Different comparison groups used for each of 7 service providers/ systems.	the program across all types of NY/NY housing configurations. Data Source: Housing agency records and Lipton et al (2000). Measure Type: DiD	Quality of Measurement: Good	years (\$312 per year). NY City incarcerations reduced by 3.8 days over 2 years (\$245 per year). <u>Welfare</u> not reported <u>Employment</u> not reported Components Included in Total Costs Averted: Healthcare, Emergency housing, Judicial Components Included in Healthcare Cost: Physical and mental health/SUD. Inpatient, ED, outpatient, ambulance.	
	Existing program. 2-year pre and 2- year post analysis.				Physical and mental health/SUD. Inpatient, ED, outpatient, ambulance.	
					price. Measure Type: Post only intervention versus control Quality of Capture: Good Quality of	
Author (Year): Flaming et al. (2009)	Location: Los Angeles, California, U.S.	Program Name: Skid Row Housing Trust (SRHT)	Stable Housing Days: 17% leave housing within 6	Intervention cost: Total is \$1,102 per unit per month.	Measurement: Good Total Cost: Total offsets \$2,291 per unit per month.	Benefit-Cost Ratio: All persons \$2,291/\$1,102 = 2.08

Design:	Eligibility:	Supportive	months, 26%	By Subgroup:	By Subgroup:	By Subgroup:
Retrospective with	Persons who	Permanent Housing	leave housing	Group A \$1,096	Group A Reduced	Group A 2.30
matched control	were current and	5	after 6 to 11	Group B \$1,117	\$2,522	Group B 2.17
	former residents	Intervention	months. Half of	Group C \$1,124	Group B Reduced	Group C 2.78
Economic	in supportive	Permanent housing	departures due		\$2,420	•
Method:	housing provided	first with support	to unfavorable	Data Source:	Group C Reduced	Quality of Estimate:
Cost-benefit	by Skid Row	services. Two group	life events.	Agency and program	\$3,125	Fair
	Housing Trust	housing units have		records		
Funding Source:	(SRHT) with	on-site primary			Costs by Component	Limitations:
Conrad Hilton	history of mental	medical care and		Components	for all persons:	Post only comparison
	illness and	psychiatric		Included in	Healthcare cost reduced	with control
Monetary	substance abuse	services. Other		Intervention Cost:	\$2,082 per person per	
Conversions:	who received	units receive care		Rent subsidies plus	month of which \$1,885	
Index year	housing and	from community-		administrative plus	was for physical and	
assumed 2008 in	comprehensive	based services.		capital costs.	\$197 was for mental	
U.S. dollars	case	Both have case			health.	
	management	managers who		Quality of Capture:		
	services.	meet regularly with		Fair	Emergency housing Cost	
		residents, make			is in total and not	
	Sample Size:	progress		Quality of	reported separately.	
	Intervention: 279	assessments,		Measurement: Good		
	Control: 279	coordinate care,			Judicial costs Reduced	
	Matched from	offer life-skills			by \$120 per person per	
	database of 9186	training, group			month.	
	persons who	interest activities,				
	were homeless	and socialization.			Welfare Reduced by \$89	
	during the period.				per person per month.	
		Subgroup analysis				
	Population	for persons with:			Employment not	
	Characteristics:	mental health			reported	
	Age: 46 or older	disorders (Group				
	70%; 30-45	A), SUD (Group B),			Components Included	
	25%; 18-29 5%	HIV (Group C)			in Total Costs	
	Females: 30% Race: African	Comparison:			Averted: Healthcare, Emergency	
		Individuals who are			housing, Judicial,	
	American 65%, White 15%,	homeless and not			Welfare	
	Hispanic 10%,	receiving housing				
	Other 10%.	first and support			Components Included	
	Veterans 12%				in Healthcare Cost:	
	Unemployed 78%				Physical and mental	
	Diseases or				health/SUD.	
	Disorders:					
	Substance use					
	Substance use					

	70%, Mental illness 80%, HIV 13%. Jail or probation 38% Time Horizon: Existing program. Cost information for control available for 22 months (Jan 2006 to Oct 2007) and for 42 months for intervention (July 2005 to Dec 2008).				Inpatient, ED, outpatient, ambulance for physical. Source and Valuation: Administrative databases from multiple agencies and private hospitals and clinics. Administrative data from Sheriff and social services department Measure Type: Post only intervention versus control Quality of Capture: Good Quality of Measurement: Good	
Author (Year):	Location: Los	Program Name:	No effectiveness	Intervention cost:	Total Cost:	Benefit-Cost Ratio:
Flaming et al. (2013)	Angeles, California, U.S.	10 th Decile	outcomes of interest	Total per person annual is \$29,609	Annual total cost offsets were \$46,895 per	\$46,895/\$29,609 = 1.58
		Intervention	reported	composed of: capital	person per year.	1.50
Design:	Eligibility:	Objective is to	F	cost \$9,750; operating		Quality of Estimate:
Retrospective with	High-need, high-	move 175 high-		costs \$4,700; one-	Costs by Component:	Good
matched control	cost persons who	need, high-cost		time costs \$15,159.	Healthcare cost reduced	
	are homeless and	persons who are			\$42,488 per person per	Limitations:
Economic	triaged from	homeless into		Data Source:	year.	Artificial groups
Method:	hospitals and	housing with		Agency and program		created for analysis of
Cost-benefit	clinics. Must be in			records	Emergency housing Cost	costs
	10th decile of	accepts persons			is in total and not	
Funding Source:	need or resource	directly triaged		Components Included in	reported separately.	
Conrad Hilton	wee Musther	haaad an instal first				
1	use. Must be	based on need from			Judicial costs Roduced	
Monetary	eligible for	hospital and assists		Intervention Cost:	Judicial costs Reduced	
Monetary Conversions:	eligible for Section 8	hospital and assists with temporary		Intervention Cost: Capital cost of units,	by \$2,181 per person	
Conversions:	eligible for Section 8 vouchers and	hospital and assists with temporary housing and		Intervention Cost: Capital cost of units, rent, operating costs		
Conversions: Index year	eligible for Section 8 vouchers and have some	hospital and assists with temporary housing and application. Six		Intervention Cost: Capital cost of units, rent, operating costs for support, navigator	by \$2,181 per person per year.	
Conversions:	eligible for Section 8 vouchers and have some income (usually	hospital and assists with temporary housing and application. Six organizations		Intervention Cost: Capital cost of units, rent, operating costs for support, navigator training, hospital	by \$2,181 per person per year. <u>Welfare</u> Reduced by	
Conversions: Index year assumed 2012 in	eligible for Section 8 vouchers and have some	hospital and assists with temporary housing and application. Six		Intervention Cost: Capital cost of units, rent, operating costs for support, navigator	by \$2,181 per person per year.	

income). Triaged from 13 area hospitals based on need by navigator. Disqualifications are undocumented immigrant; on parole for violent crime; convicted for arson; operate meth lab; sex- offender; disability prevents independent living. Sample Size: Intervention: 36 who obtained housing and supportive services. Control: Proxies were drawn for both the intervention group and for comparison from two databases of persons with cost information: 9186 who were homeless and 1007 who were housed in all housing first plus supportive	from 13 area hospitals. Immediate services provided for temporary housing, and primary and behavioral care, substance abuse care, and applications for housing. Navigators continue engagement with persons after placement. Often in scattered sites, the navigator is sole provider of support services. Comparison: Individuals who were homeless and not receiving housing first and support	housing, welfare enrollment, move-in cost. Quality of Capture: Good Quality of Measurement: Good	Employment not reported Components Included in Total Costs Averted: Healthcare, Housing, Judicial, Welfare Components Included in Healthcare Cost: Physical and mental health. ED and inpatient. Source and Valuation: County-wide administrative records of encounters from multiple agencies and per unit prices. Measure Type: DiD Quality of Capture: Good Quality of Measurement: Good	
housed in all housing first plus				

	Population Characteristics: Mean Age: 48 Females: 29% Race: African American 43%, White 23%, Hispanic 15%, Other 7%. Substance use 53% Jail or probation 29% Chronic illness 13% Time Horizon: Existing program. First screened person in the					
	American 43%, White 23%, Hispanic 15%,					
	Substance use 53%					
	29% Chronic illness					
	Time Horizon:					
	First screened					
Author (Year): Gilmer et al. (2009)	Location: San Diego, California, U.S.	Program Name: Reaching Out and Engaging to Achieve Consumer	No effectiveness outcomes of interest reported	Intervention cost: Not reported. Some components of intervention may be	Total Cost: Increased by \$417 per person over 2 years period.	No summary measures reported
Design: Retrospective with matched control	Eligibility: Intervention group identified from San Diego's	Health (REACH) Intervention Project funded to		included in change in healthcare cost.	Costs by Component: Healthcare cost Increased by \$987 per	
Economic Method: Healthcare cost	database for mental health service encounters from	address impact of new sports stadium on persons who are homeless and have			person over 2 years period. Composed of mental health costs increased	
Funding Source: State grant for the program. NIMH for the study.	July 1, 2002 through June 30, 2005. Recruited from shelters,	a severe mental illness. Housing in single occupancy furnished hotel			case management by \$6,403; outpatient increased by \$687; inpatient and ED	
Monetary Conversions:	found by outreach team, and referred by community	room; residential treatment facility; scattered apartments.			decreased by \$6,103. Emergency housing not reported.	

Design:	Eligibility:	Intervention:	decreased from 191 to 62 days.	Data Source:	Costs by Component:	Fair
Author (Year): Gilmer et al. (2010)	Location: San Diego, California, U.S.	Program Name: Full Service Partnership (FSP)	Stable Housing Days: Days spent homeless	Intervention cost: Cost per person per year \$3,180.	Total Cost: Annual total cost offsets were \$1,064 per person per year.	Benefit-Cost Ratio: \$1,064/\$3,180 = 0.33 Quality of Estimate:
					Quality of Measurement: Good	
	through June 30, 2005.				Quality of Capture: Fair	
	agencies from July 1, 2002				DiD	
	encounters with mental health				Measure Type:	
	Selected from service	clear criterion of 'homeless'.			per unit from program- specific cost reports.	
	Time Horizon:	REACH clients. Note			mental health with price	
	4%, other 6%.	characteristics similar to those of			Source and Valuation: Encounters database for	
	disorder 21%, other psychotic	demographic and clinical			and ED.	
	disorder 16%, major depressive	with serious mental illness who had			Case management, outpatient, inpatient	
	Schizophrenia 53%, bipolar	Matched clients who were homeless			in Healthcare Cost: Mental health only.	
	Diseases or Disorders:	Comparison:			Components Included	
	Other 8%.	manager.			Healthcare, Judicial	
	White 60%, Hispanic 9%,	must meet bi- weekly with case			in Total Costs Averted:	
	Race: African American 22%,	sobriety requirement but			Components Included	
	Females: 60%	monthly. No			Employment not reported	
	Characteristics: Mean Age: 42	for rent and receive \$100 for food				
	Population	processed. Clients contribute 30-40%			Welfare not reported	
	Control: 161	section 8 benefits			years.	
	Sample Size: Intervention: 177	while SSI, VA benefits, HUD			in jails decreased by \$570 per person over 2	
U.S. dollars		treatment facility			health services provided	
Index year assumed 2004 in	mental health programs.	Generally, first housed in			Judicial costs Mental	

Retrospective with	Persons recruited	Treatment not		Administrative records	<u>Healthcare cost</u> Total	
matched control	by referrals and	mandatory but	Stable housing	of providers.	healthcare cost	
	outreach from	meeting treatment	increased from	_	increased \$577 per	
Economic	psychiatric	team monthly is	74 days to 147	Components	person per year made	
Method:	hospitals,	required. Assertive	days per year.	Included in	up of increase in	
Cost-benefit	emergency	community		Intervention Cost:	outpatient by \$9,180,	
	departments,	treatment (ACT)	QoL improved	Rent minus client	decrease in inpatient by	
Funding Source:	other mental	teams of 1 per 100	for intervention	contributions; upkeep	\$6,882 and decrease in	
San Diego County	health programs,	clients include:	(housing,	and maintenance;	ED by \$1,721.	
	county agencies,	psychiatrists,	safety, daily	phone and utilities;		
Monetary	Institutes of	nurses, mental	activities,	cost of support	Emergency housing not	
Conversions:	Mental Disease,	health	health, social	services.	reported	
Index year	jails, shelters,	professionals,	and family			
assumed 2007 in	rescue missions,	employment	relations).	Quality of Capture:	Judicial costs Mental	
U.S. dollars	and the street.	specialists, peer	-	Good	health services provided	
		specialists, and	Source:		in jails reduced by	
	Sample Size:	substance-abuse	Quarterly	Quality of	\$1,641 per person per	
	Intervention: 209	specialists.	progress reports	Measurement: Fair	year	
	Control: 154	Services: At home,	from case			
		work, or client	encounters		Welfare not reported	
	Population	chosen setting for				
	Characteristics:	medication	Measure Type:		Employment not	
	Mean Age: 44	management,	Pre to post for		reported	
	Females: 37%	vocational services,	intervention			
	Race: African	substance abuse	only		Components Included	
	American 26%,	services, and other			in Total Costs	
	White 61%,	services to increase			Averted:	
	Hispanic 9%,	functioning within			Healthcare, Judicial	
	Other 4%.	community. 24-7				
	Diseases or	crisis center.			Components Included	
	Disorders:				in Healthcare Cost:	
	Schizophrenia	Comparison:			Physical and mental	
	60%, bipolar	Propensity matched			health.	
	disorder 27%,	persons who were			Outpatient, inpatient,	
	major depressive	homeless and had a			and ED.	
	disorder 13%.	severe mental				
	_	illness with people			Source and Valuation:	
	Time Horizon:	who had similar			Healthcare utilization	
	Existing program.	demographics and			from encounters	
	Identified	clinical			administrative data and	
	intervention	characteristics.			price per unit from cost	
	group from FSP	Note the homeless			reports from contract	
	database from	criterion is clearly			providers. Judicial	
1	October 1, 2006	stated here.				

	to December 31, 2007.				encounters from jail records. Measure Type: DiD Quality of Capture: Fair Quality of Measurement: Good	
Author (Year): Gilmer et al. (2014)	Location: Statewide, California, U.S.	Program Name: Full Service Partnership (FSP)	No effectiveness outcomes of interest	Intervention cost: Not reported	Total Cost: Total cost increased by \$12,056 per person per	No summary economic measures reported
			reported		year substantially	Limitations:
Design:	Eligibility:	Intervention			composed of increase in	Some concern about
Retrospective with	Persons who	FSP was delivered			mental health outpatient	
matched control	participated in	in multiple			of \$11,752.	controls who may not
Economic		locations. Based on the survey of			Costs by Component:	be chronically homeless.
Method:		fidelity to Housing			Healthcare cost	nomeless.
Healthcare cost	homeless and	First with Support			increased by \$12,056	
	have severe	model (Gilmer			per person per year	
Funding Source:	mental illness.	2013), most of the			substantially composed	
American Recovery		programs included			of increase in mental	
and Reinvestment	Sample Size:	in this study			health outpatient of	
Act of 2009 and	Intervention:	showed greater			\$11,752.	
Agency for	10231	fidelity to service				
Healthcare	Control: 10231	array and team			Emergency housing not	
Research and		structure than to			reported	
Quality.	Population	housing and service				
		philosophy. In			Judicial costs not	
Monetary	Mean Age: 42	other words, the			reported	
Conversions:	Females: 46% Race: African	services and			Walfara Nat reported	
Index year assumed 2007 in	American 10%,	staffing offered were like Housing			Welfare Not reported	
U.S. dollars	White 31%,	First with Support			Employment Not	
	Hispanic10%,	but the philosophy			reported	
	Other and	of Housing First				
	unclassified 49%.	versus Treatment			Components Included	
	Medicaid 58%	First was not			in Total Costs	
		followed in many of			Averted:	
	Disorders:	the programs.			Healthcare	
	Substance use					

41%, Schizophrenia 62%, bipolar disorder 20%, major depressive disorder 18%. Time Horizon: Existing program. Intervention group selected from FSP participants between January 1, 2005, and June 30, 2009.	has many features similar to the Housing First			Components Included in Healthcare Cost: Physical and mental health. Inpatient, outpatient mental health, crisis centers and residential treatment. Source and Valuation: Multiple administrative data from agencies and claims data linked together Measure Type: DiD Quality of Capture: Good Quality of Measurement: Good	
Location: Statewide, California, U.S. Eligibility: Persons who participated in	Program Name: Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) (was referred to as Full-service Partnership, FSP, in	No effectiveness outcomes of interest reported	Intervention cost: Not reported	Total Cost: Total cost increased \$13,337 per person per year. Costs by Component: <u>Healthcare cost</u>	No summary economic measures reported Limitations: Some concern about selection of controls and their homeless
PSH targets homeless with severe mental illness with	Intervention PSH was implemented in			increased \$13,337 per person per year. The increase substantially due to outpatient mental health by \$10,979.	status Notes: Note the mean increases were higher with higher fidelity to the ideal Housing First
	62%, bipolar disorder 20%, major depressive disorder 18%. Time Horizon: Existing program. Intervention group selected from FSP participants between January 1, 2005, and June 30, 2009. Location: Statewide, California, U.S. Eligibility: Persons who participated in PSH program. PSH targets homeless with severe mental illness with Housing First with	Schizophrenia 62%, bipolar disorder 20%, major depressive disorder 18%.put in place after the Mental Health Services Act of 2004 in California; has many features similar to the Housing First intervention. However, many FSP providers in study did require housing readiness (e.g. sobriety or treatment). So, the intervention in this study is a mixed bag of Housing First and Treatment First.Location: Statewide, California, U.S.Program Name: Persons who participated in PSH program. PSH program. PSH targets homeless with severe mental illness with Housing First withIntervention polation of the severe mental illness matched to FSP group. Unclear if chronic homelessness was a requirement.Location: Statewide, California, U.S.Program Name: Persons who participated in PSH program. PSH was implemented in multiple locations.	Schizophrenia 62%, bipolar disorder 20%, major depressive disorder 18%.put in place after the Mental Health Services Act of 2004 in California; has many features similar to the Housing First intervention.Time Horizon: Existing program. Intervention group selected from FSP participants between January 1, 2005, and June 30, 2009.put in place after the Mental Health Housing First intervention. However, many FSP providers in study did require housing readiness (e.g. sobriety or treatment). So, the intervention in this study is a mixed bag of Housing First and Treatment First.Location: Statewide, California, U.S.Program Name: Persons with severe mental illness matched to FSP group. Unclear if chronic homelessness was a requirement.No effectiveness outcomes of interest reportedEligibility: PSH program. PSH program. PSH program. PSH program. PSH targets homeless with severe mental illness with Housing First withIntervention multiple locations.	Schizophrenia 62%, bipolar disorder 20%, major depressive disorder 18%.put in place after the Mental Health Services Act of 2004 in California; has many features similar to the Housing First intervention.Time Horizon: Existing program. Intervention group selected from FSP participants between January 1, 2005, and June 30, 2009.put in place after the Mental Health So the intervention in this study is a mixed bag of Housing First and Treatment First.Comparison: Persons with severe mental illness matched to FSP group. Unclear if chronic homelessness was a requirement.No effectiveness outcomes of intervention action as the severe mental illness matched to FSP group. Unclear if chronic homelessness was a requirement.No effectiveness intervention cost: No reportedLocation: Statewide, California, U.S.Program Name: Persons who participated in PSH targets homeless with severe mental illness with severe mental illness with severe mental illness with severe mental illnessNo effectiveness outcomes of interest reportedIntervention cost: Not reportedEligibility: PSH targets homeless with illness with illness with implemented in multiple locations.No effectiveness outcomes of interest reported	Schizophrenia 62%, bipolarput in place after the Mental Health disorder 20%, major depressive 2004 in California; disorder 18%. has many features similar to the Housing First Existing program. Intervention participantsput in place after the Mental Health Housing First Existing program. However, many FSP did require housing readmess (e.g. sobriety or treatment). So, the treatment). So, the study is a mixed bag of Housing First existing and Treatment from FSP participantsSource and Valuation: Multiple administrative data from agencies and claims data linked togetherLocation: Statewide, U.S. Eligibility: Persons with Persons with Persons with Persons with Persons with Study is a mixed to FSP group. Unclear if chronic.No effectiveness intervention are requirement.Intervention cost: output for the study of measurement: GoodLocation: Statewide, Persons with Persons with Participated in Persons with participated in PESH program. PSH trogram. PSH trogram.No effectiveness intervention reportedIntervention cost: not effectiveness interventionTotal Cost: Total cost: Total cost: Costs by Component: Healthcare cost increased \$13,337 per person per year. The increased \$13,337 per person per year. The increased \$13,337 per person per year. The increased \$13,337 per person per year. The increase substantially due to outpatient implemented in Housing First with multiple locations.Intervention person per year. The increase substantially due to outpatient implemented in implemented in implemented in implemented in implemented in implemented in implemented in implemented

Page **13** of **31**

Research and Quality's	Study analyzes outcomes for	Housing First with Support model		Emergency housing not reported	\$4,575 for low; \$7,224 for mid; \$17,610 for
Quality 5	subgroup of	(Gilmer 2013),		Judicial costs not	high fidelity.
Monetary	youth age 18	most of the		reported	
Conversions:	through 24.	programs included		reported	
Index year	through 24.	in this study		Welfare not reported	
assumed 2007 in	Sample Size:	showed greater		wenare not reported	
U.S. dollars	Intervention:	fidelity to service		Employment not	
0.5. 001015	2609	array and team		reported	
	Control: 2609	structure than to		reported	
	Control: 2009	housing and service		Components Included	
	Population	philosophy. In		in Total Costs	
	Characteristics:	other words, the		Averted:	
	Mean Age: 21	services and		Healthcare	
	Females: 40%	staffing offered		liediticale	
	Race: African	were like Housing		Components Included	
	American 11%,	First with Support		in Healthcare Cost:	
	White 31%,	but the philosophy		Physical and mental	
	Hispanic 22%,	of Housing First		health.	
	Other and	versus Treatment		Inpatient physical and	
	unclassified 37%.	First was not			
	Medicaid 59%	followed in many of		mental, outpatient mental, crisis and	
	Diseases or	the programs. The		residential services.	
		PSH program put in		residential services.	
	Disorders: Substance use	place after the		Source and Valuation:	
		MHSA Act in			
	46%,	California has many		Multiple agencies administrative and	
	Schizophrenia 48%, bipolar	features similar to		claims data linked	
	disorder 28%,	the Housing First with Support		together.	
	major depressive disorder 24%.	intervention.		Measure Type:	
		However, many		DiD	
	Time Horizon:	PSH providers in		טוט	
	Existing program.	study did require		Quality of Capture:	
	Intervention	housing readiness		Good	
				Good	
	group selected from PSH	(e.g. sobriety or treatment). So, the		Quality of	
	participants	intervention in this		Measurement: Good	
	between January	study is a mixed		measurement: Good	
	1, 2005, and June 30, 2009.	bag of Housing First and Treatment			
	Julie 30, 2009.	First.			
		11156.			
		Comparison			
		Comparison:			

		Persons with severe mental illness matched to PSH group. Unclear if chronic homelessness was a requirement.				
Author (Year): Goering et al. (2014) Design: Demonstration project with control Economic Method: Cost-benefit Funding Source: Government of Canada Monetary Conversions: Index year assumed 2010 in Canadian dollars	Location: Vancouver, Montreal, Toronto, Moncton, Canada Eligibility: Based on mental health severity and baseline resource use, persons are categorized into High-need and Moderate-need. Study participants are then randomly selected from High-need who will receive assertive community treatment and from Moderate- need who will receive intensive case management. The associated randomly selected controls receive no treatment.	Program Name: At Home/Chez Soi Intervention Demonstration project in Canada for Housing First with Support with 2 levels of services - intensive case management for moderate need (62%) and assertive community treatment for high need (38%) individuals. Quality controlled for fidelity to Housing First with Support. Intervention group received immediate housing of choice, rent subsidy with 30% contribution from clients. Clients received support they needed. Required to meet support team once a week. Most units were private rental units with some	QOLI-20 based on self-report. Housing from self-reports every 3 months. Measure Type:	Intervention cost: High-need \$22,257 per person per year Moderate-need \$14,177 per person per year Source: Programs data and data provided by provincial governments Components Included in Intervention Cost: Salaries of providers and supervisors, travel, rent, utilities, and rent subsidies for tenants Quality of Capture: High-need: Good Moderate-need: Good Moderate-need Good	per year: High-need Reduced \$21,375 Moderate-need Reduced \$4,849 Costs by Component: <u>Healthcare cost</u> Included in total cost averted. Not reported separately. <u>Emergency housing</u> Included in total cost averted. Not reported separately. <u>Judicial costs</u> Included in total cost averted. Not reported separately. <u>Welfare not reported</u> <u>Employment not</u> reported Components Included in Total Costs Averted: Healthcare, Housing, Judicial	Benefit-Cost Ratio: High-need 0.96 Moderate-need 0.34 Quality of Estimate: High-need Good Moderate-need Fair Limitations: Inclusion of those not chronically homeless; self- reported utilization
		social housing. ACT provided by team of psychiatrists,	DiD		Components Included in Healthcare Cost:	

Modeled from trial	Los Angeles,		Quality of Life	Interviews of program	Healthcare cost	Fair
	California, U.S.	Intervention	Stress among	leaders and program	Reduced \$4,950 per	l un
Economic	cultorna, otor	Immediate rental	seropositives	records at sites.	person per year.	Limitations:
Method:	Eligibility:	assistance with	(=0.0324 QALY		person per year	Benefits modeled are
Cost per QALY	Persons recruited	case management.	increase).	Components	Emergency housing not	not related to housing.
	through HIV	Met with housing	Averted HIV	Included in	reported	
Funding Source:	service	referral specialist	infections	Intervention Cost:		
Department of	organizations	and assisted with	modeled to	Recruitment,	Judicial costs not	
Housing and Urban	5	finding housing of	increase QALY	screening, housing	reported	
Development,	Sample Size:	choice. Rental	by 0.33.	subsidy, utility		
Centers for Disease		assistance based on	,	assistance, case	Welfare not reported	
Control and	Control: 315	client income.	Source:	management for care,		
Prevention		Specialists	Averted	materials and	Employment not	
	Population	assessed health	infections and	administrative.	reported	
Monetary	Characteristics:	needs and provided	QALY from HIV			
Conversions:	Age: 64% were	necessary referrals.	research	Quality of Capture:	Components Included	
Index year	50 or above and	Housing locator and	literature based	Good	in Total Costs	
assumed 2005 in	24.4% were 30	rent support.	and stress		Averted:	
U.S. dollars	to 39.	Assessed health	based on trial.	Quality of	Healthcare	
	Female: 29%	needs and provided		Measurement: Good		
	Race: African	referrals. Many	Measure Type:		Components Included	
	American 78.4%,	were referred from	Pre to post		in Healthcare Cost:	
	Other and	HIV service			Physical and mental	
	unclassified	organizations and			health.	
	21.6%.	were presumably			Outpatient, inpatient,	
	Less than high	being treated.			ED, medications.	
	school 36.5%					
	Unemployed	Comparison:			Source and Valuation:	
	80.3%	HIV persons			ED use observed in trial	
	Diseases or	assisted with			and reduction in averted	
	Disorders:	developing a			transmissions from	
	HIV 100%,	housing assistance			literature.	
	Alcohol abuse	plan. Not restricted			.	
	100%, Hep C	from obtaining			Measure Type:	
	40%, TB 18%,	rental assistance or			DiD	
	Diabetes 8%,	housing from other			Quality of Cantures	
	Emphysema 8%.	sources.			Quality of Capture:	
	Ever jailed 69.1%				Good	
					Quality of	
	Time Horizon:				Measurement: Fair	
	July 2004 to May					
	2005 baseline					
	assessments. 6-					

	month follow-up. QALY modeled lifetime.					
Author (Year): Larimer et al. (2009) Design: Pre post with control Economic Method: Cost-benefit Funding Source: Robert Wood Johnson Foundation, NIH, National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism, University of Washington Monetary Conversions: Index year assumed 2006 in U.S. dollars	lifetime. Location: Seattle, Washington, U.S. Eligibility: Persons selected from rank ordered chronically homeless incurring highest cost in 2004 for alcohol-related ED, sobering center, and jail. Also referred by providers. Sample Size: Intervention: 81 got immediate assignment of housing and 14 were housed before 3-month follow-up Control: wait list 39 Population Characteristics: Mean Age: 48 Female: 6% Race: African American 10%, White 39%, Hispanic 6%, Native and Alaskan 28%,	Program Name: 1811 Eastlake Intervention Housing first with support for chronically homeless with alcohol dependence who are high utilizers. Group housing with case managers who encouraged sobriety and life goals. Meals provided. On-site case managers engaged persons about substance use and life goals. Also offered onsite meals and healthcare. Comparison: Wait list controls	Stable Housing Days: Median time in housing was 17.2 months during period of study. Source: Not reported. Likely from program housing records. Measure Type: Post only	Intervention cost: \$1,120 per person per month Source: Program records. Per person cost computed in terms of full capacity (=75 persons). Components Included in Intervention Cost: Maintenance, utilities, insurance, food, case management, health services. Quality of Capture: Good Quality of Measurement: Good	Total Cost:Reduction was \$3,569per person per monthCosts by Component:Healthcare costIncluded in total costaverted. Not reportedseparately.Emergency housingIncluded in total costaverted. Not reportedseparately.Judicial costsIncluded in total costaverted. Not reportedseparately.Welfare not reportedEmployment notreportedComponents IncludedIn Total CostsAverted:Healthcare, Housing,JudicialComponents IncludedIncluded in Total CostsAverted:Healthcare, Housing,JudicialComponents IncludedIn Healthcare Cost:Physical and mentalhealthcare Cost:Physical and mentalhealth, SUD.Inpatient, ED, EMS,outpatient.	Benefit-Cost Ratio: 3.19 Quality of Estimate: Good

	Less than high school 33% Alcohol abuse 100% Days past 36 months: car/street/under bridge 112; shelter 87; hospital 100; sobering center 112; motel/hotel 86. Time Horizon: Recruitment Nov 2005 to March 2007.				Medicaid, etc. Utilization multiplied by unit price provided by entities. Non-healthcare from multiple sources: county corrections; shelters. Measure Type: DiD Quality of Capture: Good Quality of Measurement: Good	
Author (Year): Latimer et al. (2019) Design: RCT Economic Method: Cost-benefit Funding Source: Health Canada, Mental Health Commission of Canada Monetary Conversions: Index year 2016 in Canadian dollars	Eligibility: Persons selected from multiple sources for referrals and street outreach. Adults with legal status in province of residence and 1 of 6 mental health disorders including psychotic, major depressive, post- traumatic;	resolve housing issues. Program monitored for fidelity to Housing First model. Comparison:	Stable Housing Days: Increased 140.34 days per year compared to control. Source: 3-month person recall for residence every 3 months Measure Type: DiD	Intervention cost: \$14,496 per person per year Source: Unit costs calculated for each service for the housing and ICM teams. Charges were assigned to each occupied unit based only on actual usage of services by tenant. Components Included in Intervention Cost: Housing services and brokered ICM services. Mentions clinic staff and housing specialist	per person per month. Costs by Component: <u>Healthcare cost</u> Increased \$596 per person per year composed of physical health cost by \$208 increase, mental health cost by \$1,302 increase and substance use care decrease by \$914. <u>Emergency housing</u> Reduced \$4,794 per person per year. <u>Judicial costs</u> Increased \$1,363 per	Benefit-Cost Ratio: \$2,410/\$14,496 = 0.17 Quality of Estimate: Fair
	absolutely homeless or precariously housed with history of	Not part of the Housing first intervention. Some may have received housing and other		and case managers, with 17 persons assigned to each case manager. No other details.	person per year. <u>Welfare Increased</u> \$192 per person per year.	

I	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Г		1	1
absolute	supports,			Employment Reduced	
homelessness.	particularly in big		Quality of Capture:	by \$233 per person per	
Based on mental	cities. Small		Good	year.	
health severity	numbers may have			,	
and baseline	received supportive		Quality of	Components Included	
resource use,	medical and other		Measurement: Good	in Total Costs	
persons are	services.			Averted:	
categorized into				Healthcare, Housing,	
High-need and				Judicial, Welfare,	
Moderate-need.				Employment.	
High-need				Employment.	
individuals were				Components Included	
excluded from				in Healthcare Cost:	
analysis and only				Physical and mental	
Moderate-need				health/SUD.	
individuals were				Inpatient, ED.	
analyzed in the					
present study.				Source and Valuation:	
present study.				Healthcare 6-month	
Sample Size:				person recall from	
Intervention: 689				questionnaire every 6	
Control: 509				months. Unit price from	
Control: 309				financial reports.	
Population				Person recall 6-month	
Characteristics:				for judicial and 3-month	
Age: less than 30				for residential person	
17.1%, 30-49				recall by survey	
57.8%, 50 or				instrument and cost	
older 25.1%.				based on area-specific	
Female: 65%				unit cost every 6 and 3	
Alcohol abuse				months.	
43%					
Substance use				Measure Type:	
44.6%				DiD	
Judicial encounter					
29%				Quality of Capture:	
Longest period				Good	
homeless mean				Guu	
was 29.7 months				Quality of	
and median was				Quality of Measurement: Fair	
12 months.					
12 months.					
Time Horizon:					
пше поп201					

	Recruitment from Oct 2009 to June 2011.					
Author (Year): Lim et al (2018)	Location: New York, New York, U.S.	Program Name: New York/New York	Stable Housing Days: In post 24	Intervention cost: Not reported	Total Cost: 2-year reduction was \$9,526 per person.	No summary economic measures reported
Design:		Intervention	months,			
Pre to post with	Eligibility:	The program	intervention		Costs by Component:	
control	Persons age 18	followed the	group stayed in		Healthcare cost	
	or older eligible	Housing First model	housing for		2-year reduction of	
Economic	for New York City	with housing	mean (median)		\$9526 composed of	
Method:	supportive	placement not	of 661 (730)		inpatient reduction of	
Healthcare cost	housing due to	being contingent on	days.		\$5,864, ED reduction of	
	chronic	adhering to			\$318, and medications	
Funding Source:	homelessness	treatment or	Source:		reduction of \$2,014.	
None reported	plus serious	services. Program	Program			
	mental illness or	is based on NY/NY	housing records		Emergency housing not	
Monetary	dual mental	model. No further			reported	
Conversions:	illness and	details.	Measure Type:			
Index year 2012 in	substance		Post for		Judicial costs not	
U.S. dollars	disorder	Comparison:	intervention		reported	
	diagnoses.	From eligible	group only			
		persons from 2007-			Welfare not reported	
	Sample Size:	2010, selected				
	Intervention: 737	those who were			Employment not	
	Control: 2090	'unplaced in New York City housing			reported	
	Population	first program' for 2			Components Included	
	Characteristics:	years since meeting			in Total Costs	
	Age: 18-34 15%,	eligibility criteria.			Averted:	
	35-44 27%, 45-	This ensures the			Healthcare	
	54 40%, 55 or	control group meet				
	older 18%.	homeless and			Components Included	
	Female: 29%	disorder/disability			in Healthcare Cost:	
	Race: African	criteria but were			Substantially psychiatric	
	American 51%,	not exposed to			and behavioral.	
	White 15%,	Housing First-type			Outpatient, inpatient,	
	Hispanic 18%,	services in the pre			ED, medications, home	
	Other 3%.	or post period.			and personal services,	
	Medicaid almost				residential services.	
	all					
	Less than high				Source and Valuation:	
	school 45%				Medicaid data	

	Diseases or Disorders: Alcohol abuse 43%, SUD 52%, Mental health disorder 99%. Time Horizon: Selected from those eligible for Housing First in New York City during 2007- 2010.				Measure Type: DiD Quality of Capture: Good Quality of Measurement: Good	
Author (Year):	Location:	Program Name:	No effectiveness	Intervention cost in	Total Cost per year:	Total Cost in per
Patterson et al. (2008)	Provincewide, British Columbia,	None	outcomes of interest	annual terms: Absolute Homeless	Absolute Homeless Reduced \$211.32 million	year terms:
(2008)	Canada	Intervention	reported	\$148.06 million	At risk	Net reduction \$63.25
Design:	Cunduu	Modeled the		At Risk	Reduced \$126.05 million	
Modeled	Eligibility:	outcomes for		\$118.54 million	Combined	Net reduction with
	Modeled for	British Columbia		Combined	Reduced \$337.37 million	amortized capital cost
Economic	British Columbia	(BC) based on		\$266.57 million		of new housing \$32.8
Method:	population.	effectiveness of the			Annual Costs by	million
Cost-benefit		New York/New York		Capital Cost for	Component:	
	mental health	program evaluated		<u>Housing</u>	Healthcare cost	At Risk:
Funding Source:	disorders. Mental	in Culhane 2002.		Absolute Homeless	Absolute Homeless	Net reduction of \$7.55
Government of	health disorders	Outcomes based on		11,750 units at \$30.4	Reduced \$198.3 million	million
Canada	include bipolar,	intervention cost		million	At risk	Net increase with
Monotowy	psychotic, major	drawn from British		At Risk	Reduced \$118.3 million Combined	amortized cost of new
Monetary Conversions:	depressive, post- traumatic stress,	Columbia data. Pre to post changes in		7,009 units at \$18.2 million	Reduced \$316.58 million	housing \$10.62 million
Index year 2006 in	obsessive	housing,		Combined	Reduced \$510.58 million	Combined:
Canadian dollars	compulsive, and	healthcare, judicial,		18,759 units at \$48.62	Emergency housing and	Net reduction \$70.8
Canadian donars	panic disorders.	effects based on		million	Residential Services	million
		Culhane 2002 NY-			Absolute homeless	Net reduction with
	homeless and	NY experience but		Annualized cost of	Increased \$148.06	amortized cost of new
	inadequately	costed with target		housing and support	million	housing \$22.18 million
	housed and	BC population of		services	At risk	5
	supported.	absolute homeless		Absolute homeless	Increased \$118.5	Quality of Estimate:
		and those at risk		\$148 million	million Combined	Fair
	Sample Size:	and per unit cost		At risk	Increased \$266.57	
	Intervention:	based on studies		\$118.5 million	million	Limitations:
		covering Canadian		Combined		

ГТ				۱ ۱
Absolute	context. Most	\$266.6 million	Judicial costs	Modeled from
homeless 11750	housing provided		Absolute homeless	effectiveness outcomes
Inadequately	was Supportive	Source:	Reduced \$13.02 million	found in New York/New
housed 7009	Housing (76%) with	Housing capital cost	At risk	York program
	20% of the	for new units based on	Reduced \$7.77 million	described in Culhane
Control: Implicit	supportive housing	Pomeroy 2005.	Combined	2002.
in modeling	being Housing First.	Housing support	Reduced \$20.79 million	
	Services provided	services use based on		
Population	through ICM. Did	the NY experience in	Welfare not reported	
Characteristics:		Culhane 2002.	·	
Age: 18 through	type of support		Employment not	
90	services. Range of	Components	reported	
Adults	housing types that	Included in		
No families	were group or	Intervention Cost:	Components Included	
Severe and	scattered or	Housing and housing	in Total Costs	
moderate mental	residential care.	services. Also capital	Averted:	
illness and		cost of new units	Healthcare, Housing,	
substance abuse	Comparison:	needed. Support	Judicial	
disorders.	Control is implicit in	services included as		
	modeling and based	cost but appears in the		
Time Horizon:	on Culhane 2002.	benefits (offsets)	Components Included	
Modeled for		columns for housing	in Healthcare Cost:	
2006.		and housing services.	Physical and mental	
20001			health/SUD.	
		Quality of Capture:	Inpatient, ICU,	
		Good	ambulance, outpatient,	
		6004	labs.	
		Quality of	1005.	
		Measurement: Fair	Source and Valuation:	
			Utilization modeled	
			based on pre to post	
			changes observed in	
			Culhane 2002 for NY-NY	
			applied to the BC	
			setting. Per unit cost	
			based on Canadian	
			studies, Eberle et al.	
			-	
			(2001) and Kopala et al.	
			(2006).	
			Measure Type:	
			Measure Type: Modeled	
			modeled	

					Quality of Capture:	
					Good	
					Quality of Measurement: Fair	
Author (Year):	Location: San	Program Name:	Stable	Intervention cost in	Total Cost per person	Benefit-Cost Ratio
Rosenheck et al.	Francisco,	HUD-VASH	Housing Days:	annual terms:	per year:	\$106/\$2,295 = 0.05
(2003)	California, San		HUD-VASH	\$2,295 per person	Decreased \$106	
	Diego, California,	Intervention	group had 16%			Quality of Estimate:
Design:	New Orleans,	This is an 18-site	and 25% more	Source:	Annual Costs per	Fair
RCT	Louisiana,	demonstration	days housed	VA inpatient,	person by	
	Cleveland, Ohio,	project. The	than case	residential care, and	Component:	
Economic	U.S.	intervention arm of	management	outpatient treatment	Healthcare cost	
Method:		veterans who	and standard	were estimated from	Increased \$719	
Cost-benefit	Eligibility:	received case	care,	the VA's Cost		
	Veterans	management for	respectively.	Distribution Report.	Emergency housing and	
Funding Source:	experiencing	mental health but		Non-VA unit costs	Residential Services	
Veterans Affairs	homelessness for	were not provided		were estimated from	Decreased \$800	
	1 month or	housing is not of		several sources,		
Monetary	longer and with a			including analysis of	Judicial costs	
Conversions:	diagnosis of a	review.		costs in the 1998	Increased \$101	
Index year 2002 in	major psychiatric			MarketScan.		
U.S. dollars	disorder	Each veteran had			Welfare not reported	
	(schizophrenia,	to agree to a		Components		
	bipolar disorder,	treatment plan		Included in	Employment	
	major affective	involving further		Intervention Cost:	Increased \$126	
	disorder, or	participation in case		Housing subsidies and		
	posttraumatic	management and		healthcare support.	Components Included	
	stress disorder)	other specified			in Total Costs	
	or an alcohol or	services if		Quality of Capture:	Averted:	
	drug abuse	randomized to		Good	Healthcare, Housing,	
	disorder or both.	either HUD-VASH		Oursline of	Judicial	
	Commits Circo	or case		Quality of	Common onto Tradudo d	
	Sample Size:	management only.		Measurement: Good	Components Included	
	Intervention: 182	However, once			in Healthcare Cost:	
	Control (2 arms):	assigned, retention of the voucher was			Physical and mental health/SUD.	
	278	not contingent on			Inpatient, ED,	
	270	participation in			outpatient, labs.	
	Population	treatment.				
	Characteristics:				Source and Valuation:	
	Mean Age: 42	The case managers			Healthcare utilization	
	Female: 4.2%	linked clients with			from the VA's	
	1 Cillaic, 4.270	the local housing			comprehensive national	
		the local housing				

	Race: African American 64%, Other 36%. Mental health disorder or SUD or both 100% Time Horizon: 3-year intervention from June 1992 through December 1995.	authority and facilitated administrative access and use of the voucher. Case managers also eased the transition to independent living by helping clients locate an apartment, negotiate the lease, and furnish and move into their new apartment. Comparison: Two comparators: Case management only without special access to Section 8 vouchers; standard VA care			workload data systems and residential treatment from VA contracts with community agencies. Interview data for jail days and earnings. Only administrative cost to VA of procuring welfare, housing vouchers, and other benefits. Measure Type: Post only Quality of Capture: Good Quality of Measurement: Fair	
Author (Year): Schinka et al. (1998) Design: Pre post with control Economic Method: Healthcare cost Funding Source: Veterans Affairs Monetary Conversions: Index year assumed 1997 in U.S. dollars	Location: Tampa, Florida, U.S. Eligibility: Patients treated at VA for substance abuse who were homeless. Rejected as candidates for outpatient treatment due to past failure, comorbid mental health, multiple drug use, or homelessness.	Program Name: None Intervention: Patients offered housing in apartments close to hospital where they received SUD treatment. Apartment building supervised by the agency staff 24 hours a day. On weekdays, participated in the same therapeutic activities as did patients in the inpatient program.	No effectiveness outcomes of interest reported	Intervention cost in annual terms: Reported as total cost including cost of treatment	Total Cost Averted per person per year: Reported as total cost including intervention cost	Total Cost: Annual cost of housing plus treatment for the intervention group \$46,748 Annual cost of inpatient care for the control group \$87,048 Source: Housing costs for extracted from data provided by the VA national cost distribution report. Components Included in Total Cost:

	Sample Size: Intervention: 36 Control: 62 Population Characteristics: Moderately severe substance dependence Time Horizon: Trial from July 1996 through December 1966	In the evenings, these patients attended Alcoholics Anonymous and Narcotics Anonymous meetings, housekeeping meetings, and group meetings at the residence. On weekends, supportive housing patients worked on assignments and attended AA or NA meetings, but were otherwise free to use their time as they wished. Comparison: Inpatient VA care for SUD.				Housing cost estimate included costs related to bed occupancy, meals, and building management, maintenance, and utilities. Also included use of space for group activities. Cost of personnel providing full- or part- time services, including psychiatrists, nurses, and occupational therapists and support staff. Items similar for intervention and control not examined (such as chest x-rays and routine intake laboratory tests) Measure Type: Post only Quality of Capture: Good Quality of Measurement: Fair
Author (Year): Seligson et al. (2013) Design:	Location: New York, New York, U.S. Eligibility:	Program Name: New York/New York III Intervention:	No effectiveness outcomes of interest reported.	Intervention cost per person per year: Group A \$15,065 Group B \$25,987 Group C \$26,030	Total Cost per person per year: Group A reduced \$15,941 Group B reduced	Benefit-Cost Ratio: Group A 1.06 Group B 1.29 Group C 0.99 Group D 0.48
Retrospective with matched control	Persons who were applicants for placement in	Housing plus case management, medication		Group D \$22,828 Group E Not reported	\$33,598 Group C reduced \$25,651	Group E Not reported Quality of Estimate:
Economic Method: Cost-benefit	housing processed for eligibility and availability of housing by city	management, rehabilitation, personal assistance that emphasizes learning daily living		Source: Records from New York City departments for homeless services, corrections, health,	Group D reduced \$11,021 Group E reduced \$5,280 Costs by Component:	Good

Funding Source:	government	skills, financial	and human resources.	Healthcare cost	
New York and New	agencies and	management,	Also state department	Group A reduced \$4,380	
York City	housing	assistance in	of mental health.	Group B reduced \$4,758	
	providers.	gaining access		Group C increased \$281	
Monetary		to appropriate	Components	Group D reduced \$9,322	
Conversions:	Sample Size:	public benefits and	Included in	Group E increased	
Index year 2011 in	Intervention:	services. 24-	Intervention Cost:	\$2,592	
U.S. dollars	1696	hour/seven-day-a-	Subsidies for housing	Non-Healthcare	
	Control: 3700	week on-call	and case management	(Housing, Judicial,	
		staffing. Help in	for physical and	Welfare)	
	Population	establishing the	mental health/SUD	Group A reduced	
	Characteristics:	household. Linkage	services, and other	\$11,561	
	Not reported	services to address	assistance provided.	Group B reduced	
		clients' physical and	Capital costs of	\$28,840	
	Time Horizon:	mental health	housing not included.	Group C reduced	
	Existing program.	needs in the areas		\$25,932	
	Analyzed for	of primary medical,	Quality of Capture:	Group D reduced \$1,699	
	those served	mental health, and	Good	Group E reduced \$7,872	
	2007 through	dental care,		Components Included	
	2009.	substance abuse	Quality of	in Total Costs	
		counseling and	Measurement: Good	Averted:	
		treatment,		Healthcare, Housing,	
		domestic violence		Judicial, Welfare.	
		counseling and			
		HIV/STD prevention		Components Included	
		and treatment and		in Healthcare Cost:	
		support services.		Physical and Mental	
				Health/SUD.	
		Subgroup analyses		Inpatient, ED,	
		for:		medication, outpatient.	
		Group A: Serious		, .	
		mental health or		Source and Valuation:	
		dual mental health		Records from New York	
		and SUD		City departments for	
		Group B: Head of		homeless services,	
		household with		corrections, health, and	
		serious mental		human resources. Also	
		health or dual		state department of	
		mental health and		mental health.	
		SUD			
		Group C: Head of		Measure Type:	
		household with		DiD	
		SUD, a disabling			
		condition, or HIV			

		Group D: Youth leaving foster care system Group E: HIV and Serious mental health or SUD Comparison: Individuals not placed in NY/NY III for more than seven days who did not reside for more than seven days in any other government subsidized housing tracked by the evaluation.			Quality of Capture: Good Quality of Measurement: Good	
Author (Year): Srebnik et al. (2013) Design: Pre post with comparison Economic Method: Cost-benefit Funding Source: King County, the City of Seattle, United Way of King County, and the Seattle and King County Housing Authorities Monetary Conversions:	had significant disabling physical or psychiatric conditions. Referred either	Program Name: Begin at Home (BAH) Intervention The housing first BAH program provides housing for eligible persons. It also provides on- site medical care and connections to ancillary services. BAH team includes housing case managers, chemical dependency specialists, and a registered nurse (8 hours per week) with a 1:21 housing case manager-to- participant ratio. The team conducts	No effectiveness outcomes of interest reported	Intervention cost per person per year: \$18,600 Source: Presumably from program records. No details provided. Components Included in Intervention Cost: Housing and integrated medical, psychiatric, and chemical dependency services. Assistance obtaining benefits and development of self- sufficiency capabilities. Quality of Capture: Good	Total Cost per person per year: Decreased \$32,757 Annual Costs per person by Component: Healthcare cost Decreased \$33,421 Emergency housing not reported Judicial costs Increased \$664 Welfare not reported Employment_not reported Components Included in Total Costs Averted:	Benefit-Cost Ratio: 1.76 Quality of Estimate: Good

Index year assumed 2012 in U.S. dollars	medical respite with inpatient claims of \$10,000 or more within the prior year. Sample Size: Intervention: 29 Control (2 arms): 31 Population	frequent case staffing and has 24-hour coverage and security, with almost all services provided in the community or at the person's residence. Assistance obtaining benefits and achieving self- sufficiency. Comparison: Recruited using the same selection criteria as the participant group. Did not participate in housing first with support.	No effectiveness	Quality of Measurement: Good	Healthcare, Judicial Components Included in Healthcare Cost: Physical and mental health/SUD. ED, Inpatient, sobering center. Source and Valuation: Utilization and claims from Harborview Medical Center and other utilization from King County's electronic management information system. Measure Type: DiD Quality of Capture: Good Quality of Measurement: Good Total Cost per person	Benefit-Cost Ratio:
Author (Year): Toros et al. (2012) Design: Pre post with control Economic Method: Cost-benefit	Location: Los Angeles, California, U.S. Eligibility: Persons selected from registry of persons who were homeless and living on Skid	Skid Row-Project 50 Intervention Housing and mental health with targeted case management, case consultation, acute	No effectiveness outcomes of interest reported	Intervention cost in annual terms: \$30,450 per person Source: Presumably from program records. No details provided.	Total Cost per person per year: Decreased \$24,024 Annual Costs per person by Component: <u>Healthcare cost</u> Reduced \$13,005	Benefit-Cost Ratio: 0.79 Authors state it is: \$3,284,000/\$3,045,00 0 =1.08 Quality of Estimate: Fair

Funding Source:	Row. Interviewed	crisis stabilization	Components	Emergency housing not
Los Angeles County	and	in emergency	Included in	reported
	photographed to	rooms, rehab	Intervention Cost:	
Monetary	determine	services,	Housing and mental	Judicial costs
Conversions:	vulnerability	psychological	health, substance use,	Reduced \$11,019
Index year	based on length	diagnosis services,	and SUD care.	
assumed 2011 in	of homelessness,	individual and		Welfare not reported
U.S. dollars	time spent on the	group therapies,	Quality of Capture:	
	streets, and	and brief medical	Good	<u>Employment</u> not
	health and	visits. Physical		reported
	mental health	health with	Quality of	
	status. Most	inpatient and	Measurement: Good	Components Included
	vulnerable were	outpatient.		in Total Costs
	selected.	Substance abuse		Averted:
	_	with detox,		Healthcare, Judicial
	Sample Size:	residential,		
	Intervention:	outpatient and day		Components Included
	50	care services.		in Healthcare Cost:
	Control: 46	-		Physical and mental
		Comparison:		health/SUD.
	Population	No Project 50. On		Inpatient, ED,
	Characteristics:	average had lower		outpatient.
	Not reported.	vulnerability scores.		
				Source and Valuation:
	Time Horizon:			Administrative data
	Study from 2008			from departments of
	through 2010			health, mental health,
				public health, homelessness, and law
				enforcement. Health
				data also from area
				hospitals.
				nospitais.
				Measure Type:
				DiD
				Quality of Capture:
				Fair
				Quality of
				Measurement: Good

References

Eberle M, Kraus D, Pomeroy S, Hulchanski D. Homelessness – Cause & Effects (Volume 3): The Costs of Homelessness in British Columbia. Government of British Columbia: Victoria, BC; 2001.

Gilmer, Todd P., et al. "Variation in the implementation of California's Full Service Partnerships for persons with serious mental illness." *Health services research* 48.6pt2 (2013): 2245-2267.

Kopala L, Smith G, Malla A, Williams R, Love L, et al. Resource utilization in a Canadian national study of people with schizophrenia and related psychotic disorders. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica* 2006;113(430):29-39.

Lipton FR, Siegel C, Hannigan A, Samuels J, Baker S. Tenure in supportive housing for homeless persons with severe mental illness. *Psychiatric Services* 2000;51(4):479–86.

Pomeroy S. The cost of homelessness: Analysis of alternate responses in four Canadian cities. Prepared for the National Secretariat on Homelessness; 2005.

Spellman B, Khadduri J, Sokol B, Leopold J. "Costs Associated with First-Time Homelessness for Families and Individuals." Prepared for U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development; 2010. Available at http://www.huduser.org/portal/publications/pdf/Costs Home less.pdf [accessed on May 10, 2010].